

Innovative state action last year across the microgrid policy landscape was not enough to change the U.S. microgrid landscape, said Think Microgrid in its new State Scorecard 2024.

This framework provides relevant background information for State Energy Offices and PUC consideration, regardless of their state's microgrid landscape, through examples from peers as states ...

Once again, Think Microgrid's study does not give a single "A" grade to any state for its microgrid policy making. Same as last year's inaugural scorecard, only four states merited "B" marks, but one former ...

a meaningful solution for the operational needs of the state electric grid and the fundamental architecture of the grid supports robust contributions from distributed energy resources and microgrids.

The Scorecard includes detailed analysis of state activities across the landscape and argues that states should develop "microgrid road maps" to identify and implement microgrid policies.

multi- customer microgrids from the state right-of-way law and gives the state utility commission criteria to review and approve individual project applications, including related to size, technical feasibility, ...

Objective and scope: The primary objective of this review is to evaluate the current state of knowledge regarding MGs, identify outstanding issues, and investigate potential future trends.

With "B" grades, Hawaii, Colorado, Connecticut and Texas lead U.S. states in developing microgrid policies, creating markets to support them, and installing them, according to a report by ...

Think Microgrid's 2024 State Scorecard expands upon the framework established by the 2023 State Scorecard. It incorporates new policy activity from utility commissions, legislatures, and ...

The scorecard, which evaluates states across five categories including deployment, policy, resilience, grid services and equity, found that no state achieved an overall "A" grade, ...

Web: <https://www.black-hat.co.za>